Case 3:22-cr-00510-ADC-GLS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Puerto Rico

United States of America)		
v. Lenin René González-Peña)	Case No.	22-510 (ADC)
Defendant)		

AMENDED ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

₫ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or

☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
☐ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
☐ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and
☐ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
☐ (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

☐ Lack of stable employment ☐ Lack of stable residence

☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
▼B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses: (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
 ☑ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; ☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
♥ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
■ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
 ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted □ Prior criminal history □ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
☐ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse

AO 4/2 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detenti	on Pending Trial
Lack of significant	community or family ties to this district
	or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status	s in the United States
Subject to removal	or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear	ear in court as ordered
☐ Prior attempt(s) to	evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or i	false documents
☐ Background inform	nation unknown or unverified

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

☐ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

Defendant moved for the bail hearing to be re-opened based on new evidence. The new evidence consisted of a clarification that at least 7 of the vehicles registered to Defendant are no longer in his possession, and that he did not intend to board a flight to the Dominican Republic prior to his arrest. He submitted support letters from his accountant, family members, and members of the community. Defendant argued that he has some college education, has a history of employment, and has been a business owner for over 9 years. He also argued that he does not have a criminal history, has strong ties to Puerto Rico (including two daughters and common law wife of 19 years), and that he can offer his residence as bond. Defendant also relied on the fact that the first indictment in this case was filed more than twenty-one (21) months prior to his arrest and he did not flee.

The Government proffered having text messages to establish that Defendant actively participated in the November 2022 drug venture in which three CBP agents were shot by a co-conspirator and one CBP officer died. The Government seized over 1,000 kilos of cocaine, valued at \$9,000,000. The Government also proffered having evidence of Defendant having made payments to that same co-conspirator prior to November 2022, which is evidence of his leadership role in other drug transactions. Defendant is allegedly a logistics coordinator and contact person for the distribution of controlled substances brought to Puerto Rico by sea. The Government proffered having evidence (voice mails) of Defendant communicating with contacts in the Dominican Republic to coordinate the importation of cocaine to Puerto Rico.

The Government argued that Defendant has unexplained wealth. He reported to the Department of Treasury a total income of \$110,000 for the years 2019 through 2023. His wife reported \$21,000 for that same period. But Defendant reported being the owner of at least 2 cars, 1 boat, and 1 house and he paid taxes for three additional cars in 2023. He also appears as the registered owner of 14 vehicles. The Government offered evidence of several blank checks for a total amount of \$1,108,000 that were seized from Defendant's house. As argued by the Government, these are evidence of money laundering, presumably related to the drug trafficking business. The Government submitted a 2021 Investment Agreement seized from Defendant's house, which describes Defendant as a managing partner for the purchase and renovation of buildings. This line of business is different from that reported by Defendant; operation of a nursing home. The Government seized 4 firearms, including a long riffle, and ammunition from Defendant's residence and a phone next to Defendant's computer, which appears to have been manually or remotely erased by a person with access to the password for the iCloud account on the phone.

Finally, even though Defendant does not have a criminal conviction, the Government proffered that he was previously charged with a a crime of violence, which included threats, intimidation, assault, and the restriction of liberty of the alleged victim.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	10/07/2024	s/ Giselle López-Soler	
	_	United States Magistrate Judge	